In the Matter of:

MAGGIE RUSSELL

VS

MISS. GULF COAST COMMUNITY COLLEGE

PUGH, DR. JASON March 29, 2023



844.533.DEPO



MAGGIE RUSSELL vs MISS. GULF COAST COMMUNITY COLLEGE Dr. Jason Pugh - 03/29/2023

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
2	SOUTHERN DIVISION
3	
4	MAGGIE RUSSELL PLAINTIFF
5	VS. 1:22-CV-00086-TBM-RPM
6	MISSISSIPPI GULF COAST COMMUNITY
7	COLLEGE through its Board of Trustees (in their official
8	capacities) and DOES 1-20; inclusive DEFENDANTS
9	
10	DEPOSITION OF DR. JASON PUGH
11	
12	Taken at the offices of Boyce Holleman &
13	Associates, 1720 23rd Avenue/Boyce Holleman Boulevard, Gulfport, Mississippi, on Wednesday,
14	March 29, 2023, beginning at 3:49 p.m.
15	
16	
17	
18	REPORTED BY:
19	Kati Vogt, RPR, RMR, RDR, CRR MS CSR #1557 NCRA #052536
20	eDeposition Reporting Services Post Office Box 14148
21	Jackson, Mississippi 39236 kati.vogt@edeposition.com
22	Mobile: (228) 860-5111 844-533-3376
23	COAST ADDRESS:
24	Post Office Box 1048
25	Biloxi, Mississippi 39533

	5111 dg.1 05/25/2020	. ago <u>-</u>
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	REPRESENTING THE PLAINTIFF:	
4	KEITH ALTMAN, ESQ.	
5	Law Office of Keith Altman 33228 West 12 Mile Road	
6	Suite 375 Farmington Hills, MI 48331	
7	keithaltman@kaltmanlaw.com	
8	REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT:	
9	C. HUNTER SALAMONE, ESQ.	
10	Daniel Coker Horton & Bell, P.A. 1712 15th Street, Suite 400 Post Office Box 416	
11	Gulfport, Mississippi 39502 kbrown@danielcoker.com	
12	hsalamone@danielcoker.com	
13	HOLLIS TAYLOR HOLLEMAN, ESQ. Boyce Holleman & Associates, P.A.	
14	1720 23rd Avenue Gulfport, Mississippi 39501	
15	hollis@boyceholleman.com	
16		
17	ALSO PRESENT:	
18	Philip Bonfanti	
19	THITTP DOMESTICE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

MAGGIE RUSSELL vs MISS. GULF COAST COMMUNITY COLLEGE Dr. Jason Pugh - 03/29/2023

Page 3

	-	
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	WITNESS: DR. JASON PUGH	PAGE:
4		
5	Examination by Mr. Altman	5
6	Certificate of Court Reporter	22
7	Errata Sheet	23
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	STIPULATION
2	It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and
3	between the parties hereto, through their
4	respective attorneys of record, that this
5	deposition may be taken at the time and place
6	hereinbefore set forth, by Kati Vogt, RPR, RMR,
7	CRR, RDR, Court Reporter and Notary Public,
8	pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
9	as amended;
10	That the formality of reading and signing is
11	specifically RESERVED;
12	That all objections, except as to the form of
13	the questions and the responsiveness of the
14	answers, are reserved until such time as this
15	deposition, or any part thereof, may be used or is
16	sought to be used in evidence.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	•
1	JASON PUGH,
2	having been first duly sworn, was
3	examined and testified as follows:
4	EXAMINATION
5	
6	BY MR. ALTMAN:
7	Q. How are you, Dr. Pugh?
8	A. I am well. Thank you.
9	Q. Do I need to go over the rules with you,
10	or have you heard them twice already?
11	A. I think I'm okay with the rules.
12	Q. All right. We'll let you slide on that
13	one.
14	All right. What is your current title
15	at the college?
16	A. I am currently the executive vice
17	president of administration and finance.
18	Q. Okay. During the 2015 to 2018 or so time
19	frame what was your title at the university?
20	A. I took the position I have now in the
21	summer of 2018. And prior to that, in the
22	2015-and-forward timeline, I was the college's
23	chief academic officer. My title at the time was
24	vice president of teaching and learning and
25	community campus.

All right. What is your -- what was your 1 Q. direct personal involvement with Maggie and Susan 2 Russell during the 2015 to 2019 time frame? 3 I had no involvement with them during 4 Α. that time frame. 5 6 When was the first time you heard of 0. 7 Susan and Maggie Russell? The first time I would have heard of 8 Α. Susan and Maggie Russell would have been in 2018 9 when one of the letters that Ms. Russell sent 10 11 forward was brought to my attention --12 Okay. Was that a letter that she sent to 0. 13 Dr. Brown? 14 I think it would have been one of the Α. letters that was sent to Dr. Graham. 15 16 Typically when --17 0. Dr. Graham? 18 President Graham. Α. 19 Oh, okay. 0. Α. 20 The president of the college. Typically 21 when anything that looks to be a legal matter, 22 something like that, the president's office will forward it to my attention. 23 24 Okay. Are you an attorney? Q. 25 I am not. Α.

Okay. Were you aware of the OCR letter 1 Q. I'm sorry. 2 contemporaneously? Strike that. 3 Were you aware of the OCR complaint 4 contemporaneously? I was not aware of the OCR complaint 5 Α. until the college received it in September of 2018. 6 7 Q. Did you have any involvement in 8 developing a response to the OCR? 9 I was the college's liaison Α. I did. 10 between everybody involved at the college and the OCR, working with Mr. Nydick. 11 12 Okay. Who was it that came up with the 0. 13 proposed four options for resolution? 14 That would have been a group of faculty 15 members and our campus administration on the 16 Harrison County campus led by Dr. Bradley. 17 0. Okay. Who were the faculty members who were involved? 18 19 I do not know the specific names. I know Α. 20 that Dr. Joan Haynes was involved. She was the 21 Economics teacher and so forth at the time. 22 assume Dr. Emma Miller, who is the dean there now and is a professor of mathematics, was involved at 23 the time. And I do recall some other names that 24 25 they brought in, but I can't call them off the top

- 1 much my head. But there was a group of faculty.
- Q. Was there any question that Maggie
- 3 Russell had significant difficulties with
- 4 mathematics at that time?
- 5 A. I don't recall there being any question,
- 6 no.
- 7 Q. Nobody thought she was faking it, right?
- 8 A. Not to my knowledge, no, sir.
- 9 Q. Okay. And the school didn't know about
- 10 the dyscalculia diagnosis because that happened
- 11 after that point in time, right?
- 12 A. I'm not sure when the college became
- 13 aware of that diagnosis.
- 14 Q. Now, the -- how was Maggie's disabilities
- 15 considered when coming up with those four proposed
- 16 solutions?
- 17 A. I'm not aware of how specifically the
- 18 faculty considered her disabilities specifically.
- 19 It's my understanding that what took place was they
- 20 were asked to review the competencies in the
- 21 College Algebra course and find other methods
- 22 within the college by which a student could
- 23 potentially achieve those competencies; and these
- 24 were the set of courses or options and sequences
- 25 that they came up with that would meet those

- 1 competencies.
- 2 Q. Was there anything in the school
- 3 curriculum that made provisions for coming up with
- 4 an alternate to College Algebra?
- 5 A. In the school curriculum itself?
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 8 Q. Who decided that the school, then, could
- 9 offer something besides College Algebra in place of
- 10 College Algebra?
- 11 A. The faculty members, which the curriculum
- is controlled by the faculty members.
- 13 Q. So there's no state law that says you
- 14 must have certain things in the curriculum?
- 15 A. I'm not aware of a state law of that.
- 16 Our accrediting body is essentially the body that
- 17 oversees the curricular components of this, and our
- 18 faculty have to drive that per our accreditation
- 19 standards. College Algebra being part of the core
- 20 curriculum, that's decided by our general education
- 21 committee at the college, which is a group of
- 22 faculty members, and they put that forth as the
- 23 core curriculum. It has to be approved by the
- 24 accrediting body -- or it is approved by the
- 25 accrediting body during our review process.

	3
1	Q. How often does that review take place?
2	A. We have an intermittent review. It's a
3	ten-year review, and we have intermittent reviews
4	on a five-year basis. Our accreditation review.
5	The General Ed committee meets annually on a
6	regular basis, and there's a lot of review of the
7	curriculum.
8	Q. Do they ever change the curriculum, the
9	core curriculum?
10	A. They do change the core curriculum. I
11	can't remember the exact dates of the last time
12	that a core curriculum was changed, but I can
13	safely say that it was within the last ten years
14	that there were some tweaks made to the core
15	curriculum. I cannot remember specifically what
16	those were at the time.
17	Q. Did those changes have to be run by the
18	accreditation body when they were made, or only
19	when there was a review?
20	A. Only when there's a review, I think. I
21	think it's done only during the review process.
22	Q. So the university does have the ability
23	on its own to change the core curriculum if it
24	chooses to, correct?
25	A. The faculty members do does review and

periodically change the core curriculum, yes; but 1 2 it's not often, and there's typically some 3 mitigating factors associated with it. I can only 4 remember it being modified that one time slightly 5 in many years. I've been associated with the 6 college for over 20 years now. 7 Q. So nothing stopped the university if it 8 wanted to from granting an exception with respect to Maggie Russell, correct? 9 I would have to think through that 10 11 question to properly answer it. 12 Please do. Take whatever time you need. 0. 13 Α. The institution would have a very 14 difficult time with its accrediting body if it made 15 a waiver to its core curriculum for any purpose, 16 because it's just not something that's -- that's on 17 the table to be done. It's also not a good practice for transferability, because quite often, 18 19 it kills that process. So -- so no, I don't -- I 20 would say that our biggest challenge would be with 21 the accrediting body. 22 But you're hypothesizing that, correct? Q. 23 Well --Α. Object to form. 24 MR. HOLLEMAN: 25 Well, hypothesis based on experience with Α.

- 1 accrediting bodies that those kind of changes are
- 2 not -- never found to be favorable. I have some
- 3 experience with accrediting bodies just from time
- 4 in the system.
- 5 Q. Did you ever request a -- did you ever
- 6 discuss a waiver with an accrediting body?
- 7 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 8 Q. Did you ever see a waiver for a specific
- 9 student for a specific reason lead to difficulties
- 10 with an accrediting body?
- 11 A. Not in my tenure, no.
- 12 Q. So as you sit here --
- 13 A. I don't -- but we've never done one; so I
- 14 wouldn't have had the opportunity to see that.
- 15 Q. So as you sit here right now saying it
- 16 would have caused difficulty with the accrediting
- 17 body, that's speculation, correct?
- 18 A. That was --
- 19 MR. HOLLEMAN: Objection to form.
- 20 A. That -- I mean, call it speculation if
- 21 you like, but I would call it experience, based on
- 22 having been through substantive changes reviews and
- 23 many accreditation reviews and so forth, and being
- 24 knowledgeable of the rules.
- Q. But to be clear, you've never dealt with

- 1 a situation seeking a specific waiver for one
- 2 specific student for one particular reason,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 5 Q. Okay. I mean, that's not something the
- 6 school deals with every day, correct?
- 7 A. No, we do not.
- 8 Q. You would agree that -- I'm going to use
- 9 the phrase "Maggie," but "Maggie" always means
- 10 Maggie and Susan because --
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. -- it appears that they're inseparable.
- 13 Is that fair enough?
- 14 A. Okay. Sure.
- 15 Q. I don't think Maggie ever does anything
- 16 on -- specifically on her own.
- 17 You would agree that Maggie should have
- 18 been part of that process of resolving the OCR
- 19 complaint, correct?
- MR. HOLLEMAN: Objection to form.
- 21 MR. SALAMONE: Object to form.
- 22 A. The college would agree that anytime
- 23 there's an issue, that all parties involved should
- 24 be a part of the conversation and resolution, and
- 25 that all parties should work to find what's in the

- 1 best interests of all those parties. And as we
- 2 went through the resolution process with OCR, we
- 3 were guided by OCR and, for lack of a better way to
- 4 describe it, it would -- our assumption all along
- 5 that the communication with the Russells on this
- 6 matter was via OCR and was not -- not with us.
- 7 Q. Did you ever ask the OCR whether they
- 8 were --
- 9 A. Did I specifically ask --
- 10 Q. Hold on. You've got to let me finish.
- 11 A. Sorry.
- 12 Q. That's okay. It takes practice. But you
- 13 guys have been doing a great job today.
- 14 Did you ever specifically ask the OCR
- 15 whether the Russells were involved in discussing
- 16 the proposed alternatives?
- 17 A. I did not specifically ask that question,
- 18 no.
- 19 O. Okay. So is it fair to say that nobody
- 20 at the university knew whether the Russells had
- 21 been involved or not; you just assumed they were?
- MR. SALAMONE: Object to the form.
- 23 A. It is fair to say that nobody at the
- 24 university specifically asked OCR if they were
- 25 being involved, and that we assumed that they were

being involved through OCR, that's correct. 1 2 Fair enough. Q. Okay. So who at the OCR did you deal with? 3 Craiq Nydick. 4 Α. Had you dealt with him before? 5 Q. 6 Α. No. 7 Do you know if the university had Q. received other OCR complaints before? 8 9 To my knowledge, we had not seen any --Α. received any OCR complaints. Prior to this, the 10 11 only OCR-related knowledge that I had was that 12 there was a time period in the last few years where 13 apparently the OCR contracted with some individuals 14 to review websites of colleges and universities all 15 over the United States and require or suggest 16 improvements; and we did get a letter from OCR at 17 that point in time, and we had some conversations 18 with their contractors to make our website better 19 for that, and so we were able to accomplish all 20 those goals. That's the only OCR interactions 21 we've had in my tenure that I can recall. 22 Were there any specific policies and Q. procedures for dealing with an OCR complaint? 23 Any particular policies and procedures 24 Α. 25 for dealing with an OCR-specific complaint --

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. -- at the college? No, not that I'm
- 3 aware of.
- 4 Q. Who first became aware of the OCR
- 5 complaint?
- 6 A. That would have been the office of the
- 7 president. There was a letter received. I think
- 8 the date on the letter was September 24th or
- 9 something like that, and so it came to the
- 10 president's office a few days after that.
- 11 Q. Okay. And then it -- as you described,
- 12 it came to you because it appeared to be legal in
- 13 nature?
- 14 A. That's correct. And at that point, we
- 15 share it with counsel.
- 16 Q. Who convened the panel of people at the
- 17 university to deal with the complaint?
- 18 A. That would be me, if this is what you're
- 19 referring to. We -- the initial starting point was
- 20 a phone call conversation to Mr. Nydick, whose name
- 21 was on the complaint form. The individuals on that
- 22 particular call was myself, our counsel, Dr. Cedric
- 23 Bradley, I believe, was on the call, and Dr. Suzi
- 24 Brown, I think, was on the call, and maybe
- 25 Dr. Jonathan Woodward was on the call that day.

- 1 And so that's where we began the conversation with
- 2 Mr. Nydick.
- 3 Q. And what was -- what did the school first
- 4 tell Mr. Nydick that day?
- 5 A. We didn't necessarily tell him anything.
- 6 We asked him to explain to us -- this was new to
- 7 us, so we asked him to explain to us, you know,
- 8 what this was, what our responsibilities were, what
- 9 the next steps in the process were.
- 10 Q. And he did all of that?
- 11 A. He did. He gave us some guidance on what
- 12 we -- you know, what to do next and what the
- 13 process looked like and that sort of thing.
- 14 Q. How long did it take to propose a
- 15 solution to the OCR?
- 16 A. Not long. If I remember correctly, our
- 17 phone call with the OCR was somewhere around
- 18 October 1st, and he talked us through doing a --
- 19 there was a number for it, and I can't -- it's a
- 20 302-something number, they call it -- a resolution
- 21 agreement with OCR and how to do that. And so we
- 22 immediately went back and went to work on it, and I
- 23 would say that within ten days or so, we probably
- 24 had a response back to him.
- 25 And basically what we had to do to

- respond back to him was we had to -- he asked us 1 to do -- for the resolution agreement to have two 2 3 components to it. Component Number 1 being trying to find one or more -- I don't remember if he gave 4 us a number -- he just said trying to see if there 5 were any other options that we could offer the 6 7 Russells at this point in time, which is -- the 8 end result being the four options, that you're 9 very aware of. 10 And then the second piece of the 11 agreement was they asked that we conduct some 12 training amongst our employees regarding students 13 with disabilities and things of that nature. 14 so we had to prepare a curriculum for that and that be part of the process. 15 16 So I don't remember the date that the 17 final agreement got signed on, but it was all done
- 19 there was not a long period of time.

within a very few days after October 1st.

- Q. Were you part of the deliberations that the faculty had over coming up with solutions?
- 22 A. I was not.
- Q. But I think you said you're the ones who picked the faculty to come up with those
- 25 alternatives?

18

I personally did not pick them. 1 Α. 2 Dr. Cedric Bradley, the campus vice president in 3 Harrison County, the dean, Dr. Emma Miller, who I think was dean at that time, and then I suspect 4 Dr. Brown had a role in also deciding, you know, 5 which faculty had the most expertise in the matter. 6 7 How was Maggie's disabilities considered Q. 8 during that process? 9 I do not know. Α. 10 Do you know if they were considered at 0. 11 all? 12 I do not know if there was any discussion Α. 13 about her specific disabilities. 14 The college would agree it certainly should have made sense to consider Maggie's 15 16 disabilities as part of that process, right? 17 Α. I agree it should -- and I assume that 18 all the faculty members were aware since the dean 19 was aware and Dr. Brown was aware, but I was not 20 physically present at the conversations. 21 MR. ALTMAN: Just give me a couple 22 minutes, please. Let's go off the record for five minutes. 23 24 (Off the record.) 25 BY MR. ALTMAN:

- 1 Q. Dr. Pugh, did Mr. Nydick, did he just
- 2 simply accept the proposal from the university as a
- 3 resolution?
- 4 A. To the best of my recollection, yes. The
- 5 only thing we had to do didn't pertain to the
- 6 options for the Russells. We had to go -- we had
- 7 to -- we had follow-up items we had to do
- 8 throughout the next few months. We had to document
- 9 that we had communicated those options to Maggie in
- 10 the letter, after the acceptance. And then
- 11 anything -- anytime she did something, we had to
- 12 report that to him.
- On Part B, we had to provide him with
- 14 the curriculum we used for the training. We had
- 15 to do the training within the specific time frame.
- 16 We had to send him rosters of who we trained. But
- 17 all that was after the signature on the front end.
- 18 I don't remember us making multiple submissions,
- 19 no.
- 20 Q. So there was no negotiation with the OCR.
- 21 They just simply accepted your proposal?
- 22 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. We
- 23 didn't enter into any kind of communication --
- 24 negotiation with them.
- 25 Q. I don't have anything else. Thank you,

MAGGIE RUSSELL vs MISS. GULF COAST COMMUNITY COLLEGE Dr. Jason Pugh - 03/29/2023

Page 21

1	Dr. Pugh.
2	A. Thank you.
3	(Deposition concluded at 4:13 p.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	_
1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	I, Kati Vogt, RPR, RMR, CRR, RDR, Court
3	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the County of
4	Harrison, State of Mississippi, hereby certify:
5	That on the 29th day of March, 2023, there
6	appeared before me DR. JASON PUGH;
7	That I placed the witness under oath to
8	truthfully answer all questions in this matter
9	under the authority vested in me by the State of
10	Mississippi;
11	That the foregoing 21 pages, and including
12	this page, contain a full, true, and correct
13	transcript of the testimony of said witness, as
14	reported by me using the stenotype reporting
15	method, to the best of my skill and ability.
16	I further certify that I am not in the employ
17	of, or related to, any counsel or party in this
18	matter, and have no interest, monetary
19	otherwise, in the final outcome of the proceedings.
20	Witness my signature and seal, this the 20th
21	day of April, 2023.
22	Kati Vost
23	 Kati Vogt, RPR, RMR, CRR, RDR
24	My Commission Expires January 4, 2026
25	
1	

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	I, DR. JASON PUGH, do solemnly swear that I have read the foregoing transcript and that the same is a true and correct transcript of the
4	testimony given by me on the 29th day of March, 2023, at the time and place hereinbefore set forth, with the following corrections:
5	Page: Line: Correction: Reason for
6	Correction:
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	DR. JASON PUGH
18	NOTARIZATION
19	Subscribed and sworn to before me, this
20	day of, 2023.
21	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC
23	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
24	
25	